

# Product development of Wolffia-pork ball

<sup>1</sup>Siripahanakul, T., <sup>1</sup>Thongsila, S., <sup>2</sup>Tanuthong, T. and <sup>1\*</sup>Chockchaisawasdee, S.

<sup>1</sup>*Faculty of Science and Technology, Loei Rajabhat University, Loei, 42000 Thailand* <sup>2</sup>*Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Songkla Rajabhat University, 90000 Thailand* 

#### Article history

# <u>Abstract</u>

Received: 22 May 2012 Received in revised form: 18 June 2012 Accepted:20 June 2012

### **Keywords**

Wolffia sun-dried Wolffia Wolffia-pork ball watermeal product development This study investigated the use of sun-dried Wolffia (*Wolffia arrhiza*) as a substitute of pork in a pork ball product. Qualitative descriptive analysis and ideal ratio profile test were used to outline product's characteristics and evaluate recipe trials by 15 trained panellists. Upon agreed quality attributes, levels of Wolffia and spice (mixed garlic and pepper, 1:1 weight basis) in the product were optimised. Randomised complete block design was used to compare sample-to-ideal (S/I) score ratios to ideal-to-ideal (I/I) score ratios. It was found that the optimised product had a moisture content of 76.82  $\pm$  0.23%, pH value of 6.05  $\pm$  0.01, and colour values (L, a, and b) of 59.53  $\pm$  0.06, -2.28  $\pm$  0.13, and 16.06  $\pm$  0.09, respectively. Microbiological analysis results showed total plate count number of 2.00 x 10<sup>2</sup> CFU/g, and yeast and mould count of < 10 CFU/g. The developed product was subsequently evaluated on 7-point hedonic scale by 300 general consumers, in Muang, Chiangkan, and Phakao districts in Loei province, Thailand. It was found that the product got highest percentages on 'most liking' ratings on colour, spice odour, firmness, sandiness, taste and overall liking.

© All Rights Reserved

# Introduction

Wolffia (Wolffia arrhiza) or watermeal is considered the smallest flowering aquatic plant. It is oval or round in shape with less than 1.5 mm in diameter, and is green or yellow-green in colour (Lynch Jr, 2004). It is a native plant that has long been used as a protein source in the north and northeastern regions of Thailand, Burma, and Lao PDR for many generations (Bhanthumnavin and McGarry, 1971). The plant can be cultivated and is edible from November to July (Edwards, 1980). Wolffia has been reported to be nutritious since it has high levels of calcium (59 mg/100g), phosphorus (25 mg/100g) iron (6.6 mg/100g), and  $\beta$ -carotene (65 RE/100g) (Kriengsuwan, 2006). In the USA, Wolffia is sometimes regarded as weed (Lembi, 2002; Lynch Jr., 2004). However, it is also used as food ingredient in many western dishes, such as Wolffia muffins, Wolffia-tomato sandwiches, Wolffia dip, and Wolffia pies (Armstrong, 2001).

Regarding the use of Wolffia in Thailand, apart from being used for animal food (Charoentesprasit and Jiwyam, 2001; Chantiratikul and Chumpawadee, 2011), it has been generally used in savoury local northern and north-eastern dishes. Recent survey conducted by our research group on Wolffia consumption in Loei province, Thailand, showed that the locals still consume Wolffia in traditional ways, i.e. as an ingredient in spicy soup and spicy salad. The survey also indicated that there was a high demand of Wolffia incorporated in other local foods, especially Wolffia-pork ball and fermented Wolffiapork sausage. Therefore, this study aimed to develop Wolffia-pork ball as an alternative choice for Wolffia consumption.

# **Materials and Methods**

Wolffia, pork, and all food ingredients were purchased from local markets in Loei province, Thailand. All chemicals were obtained from BDH (Bangkok, Thailand) unless otherwise stated. Culture media were purchased from HiMedia (Bangkok, Thailand).

# Wolffia preparation

Our previous study (unpublished data) on the sun drying process showed that, after cleaning with potable water and draining through a cheese cloth for 10 min, sun drying  $(40 \pm 5^{\circ}C)$  for 7 h was an appropriate method to dry Wolffia. The sun-dried Wolffia had 3.25% moisture, 7,284.44 µg/100g  $\beta$ -carotene,  $a_w$  of 0.56, reconstitution coefficient of 0.39, and colour values (L, a, b) of 28.16, -4.81, and 23.67, respectively. The sun-dried Wolffia was stored in vacuum-sealed bags (250 g) in a refrigerator (4  $\pm$  1°C). The sun-dried Wolffia was rehydrated by soaking in potable water for 15 min and draining before use.

### Study on the characteristics outline of the product

Trails on developing a Wolffia-pork ball product were performed. A typical recipe for pork ball (74.41% pork, 14.66% ice, 3.00% spice (mixed garlic and pepper, 1:1 weight basis), 3.66 % glutinous rice flour, 1.59% salt, 1.45% sugar, 0.58% soy sauce, 0.36% monosodium glutamate (MSG), and 0.29% sodium triphosphate) was used as base for product development. Sun-dried Wolffia was use to substituted pork at 3 ratios (12.50:87.50, 18.75:81.25, and 25.00:75.00). Prior to sample assessments, 15 trained panelists were recruited and qualitative descriptive analysis (Lawless and Heyman, 1999) was performed to make agreement upon appropriate quality attributes for the product. Those attributes included colour, spice odour, Wolffia (savory) odour, firmness, sandiness, taste, and overall liking. Ideal ratio profile test (Sintawalai, 1998) was performed to obtain the characteristics outline of the product, which was subsequently used as reference points for recipe optimisation. The experiment was performed in triplicate. Analysis of variance with randomised complete block design (RCBD) was used in statistical analysis. Differences between mean values of the treatments were subsequently analysed by Fisher's least significant difference (LSD;  $\alpha =$ 0.05; O'Mahony, 1985).

#### Recipe optimisation

Ideal ratio profile tests of the aforementioned trials showed that panelists preferred products containing Wolffia-to-pork ratios between 18.75 and 25% and higher amount of spice. Therefore, the recipe was optimised into 4 treatments by varying 2 levels of Wolffia-to-pork ratios and 2 levels of spice (mixed garlic and pepper, 1:1 weight basis), while the percentages of other ingredients remained the same as in previous trials (Table 1). Ratio profile test of each attribute and analysis of variance among sample means were subsequently carried out in the same fashion as described earlier. The mean difference between S/I and I/I ratio of each attribute was analysed using t-test and displayed on a spider chart.

Recipe optimisation was continued until there was no statistical difference between the mean values of S/I and I/I ratio of all attribute. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

#### Physical, chemical, and microbiological analysis

The samples were subsequently subjected to measurements of moisture content (AOAC, 1995), pH, and colour values (MiniScan XE, Hunter Lab, Thailand). For the most preferred sample, proximate analysis,  $\beta$ -carotene content, total plate count, and yeast and mould count (AOAC, 1995) were also determined. All tests were performed in triplicate.

#### Sensory evaluation by general consumers

The most preferred sample derived from Table 1 was evaluated by general (untrained) consumers in three districts (Muang, Chiankan, and Phakao) in Loei province, Thailand. One hundred locals and tourists in each location were asked to rate the product on the aforementioned quality attributes, using a 7-point hedonic scale (7 = extremely like, 1 = extremely dislike). Percentages of ranked scores on each attribute were calculated.

## **Results and Miscussion**

### Study on the characteristics outline of the product

A survey on desirable Wolffia products was conducted using a questionnaire (unpublished data). From 300 responses, it was found that Wolffiapork ball was the highest demanded product. Other suggested products included Wolffia-pork fermented sausage, Wolffia-rice noodle, Wolffia crisps, Wolffia cookies, and Wolffia bread. Based on the result of the survey, therefore, development of Wolffia-pork ball was selected. The characteristics outline of Wolffiapork balls was discussed by 15 trained panelists in qualitative descriptive analysis sessions. The sun-dried Wolffia had quite intense dark green-yellow colour (L, a, b values of 28.16, -4.81, and 23.67, respectively). This was because chlorophylls' structures were destroyed and/or transformed into pheophytins by heat treatment, resulting in darker green-yellow colour (Coultate, 1996). Simultaneously, the yellow colour of carotenoids, which was previously blocked by chlorophylls, became more dominant (Rocha et al., 1993). Therefore, the final product was expected to have dark green-yellow colour, Wolffia odour, and sandiness mouthfeel. Three Wolffia-pork ball samples, containing different Wolffia-to-pork ratios, were evaluated by the same panel. It was found that the levels of Wolffia addition affected quality attributes of the samples (Figure 1). Darker sample colour, stronger Wolffia odour, and weaker spice odour were



Ideal Value

Figure 1. Comparison of ideal-to-ideal score ratio (I/I) and sample-to-ideal score ratio (S/I) of Wolffia-pork ball samples at different Wolffia-to-pork ratios on each attribute



Figure 2. Comparison of (a) sample-to-ideal score ratios (S/I) of Wolffiapork balls samples with different levels of Wolffia and spice, and (b) sample-to-ideal score ratios (S/I) and ideal-to-ideal score ratio (I/I) of the optimised Wolffia-pork ball product on each attribute. Attribute with an asterisk indicated significant difference at least between one pair of samples (p < 0.05)

detected with higher amount of Wolffia added to the sample (p < 0.05). Although not significant (p > 0.05), samples with higher levels of Wolffia tended to be less firm in texture. This could be because there was less protein binding capacity from pork, which was replaced by Wolffia, to form emulsion (Devatkal *et al.*, 2004). Panelists could not pick up differences between the three samples in terms of sandiness and taste (p > 0.05). The overall liking result showed that samples with Wolffia-to-pork ratios of 18.75:81.25 and 25:75 were more preferable compared to that of 12.5:87.5 (p < 0.05). Nevertheless, at those levels the smell of Wolffia was strong and overpowered the spice odour. Therefore, level of spices and Wolffiato-pork ratios were optimised in the next stage.

#### Recipe optimisation

The Wolffia-pork ball recipe was adjusted by producing four Wolffia-pork ball samples with 2

Table 1. Ingredient optimisation for Wolffia-pork ball development

| Ingredient         | Percentage of total mix (by weight) |             |             |             |  |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
|                    | Treatment 1                         | Treatment 2 | Treatment 3 | Treatment 4 |  |
| Wolffia-to-pork    | 19.2:80.8                           | 19.2:80.8   | 22.5:77.5   | 22.5:77.5   |  |
| ratio              |                                     |             |             |             |  |
| Spice <sup>1</sup> | 4.5                                 | 5.0         | 4.5         | 5.0         |  |

<sup>1</sup> Mixed garlic and pepper (1:1 weight basis)

Table 2. Basic physical and chemical determination<sup>1</sup> of Wolffia-pork ball samples with different levels of Wolffia-to-pork ratios and spice<sup>2</sup>

| Wolffia:Pork | Spice level (%) | Moisture (%)     | pН                | Colour Value                        |               |                          |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
|              |                 |                  |                   | L                                   | а             | b                        |
| 19.2:80.8    | 4.50            | 76.84±0.10       | $6.05{\pm}0.00$   | 60.20 a± 0.09                       | -1.64 *± 0.24 | 16.12 <sup>b</sup> ±0.14 |
| 19.2:80.8    | 5.00            | $76.29{\pm}0.15$ | $6.05{\pm}0.01$   | $60.21  {}^{\mathrm{a}}{\pm}  0.03$ | -1.40 *± 0.08 | 16.99°±0.04              |
| 22.5:77.5    | 4.50            | 76.01±0.23       | $6.04{\pm}0.01$   | $59.08  ^{b}\pm 0.06$               | -2.30 b± 0.13 | 16.09 at 0.18            |
| 22.5:77.5    | 5.00            | 76.82±0.23       | $6.05 {\pm} 0.01$ | 59.53 b±0.06                        | -2.28 b± 0.13 | 16.06 <sup>b</sup> ±0.09 |

<sup>1</sup>Values were mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of triplicate determinations. <sup>2</sup>Mixed garlic and pepper (1:1 weight basis) <sup>a,b</sup> Means with different supercript letters within each column differed significantly (p < 0.05)

different levels of spice (4.5 and 5%) and Wolffiato-pork ratios (19.2:80.8 and 22.5:77.5; Table 1). The levels of Wolffia and spice addition did not affect the values of moisture content and pH of those four samples (p > 0.05; Table 2). Addition of high level of Wolffia (22.5:77.5 Wolffia-to-pork ratio) resulted in significant decrease in lightness (L value) and increase in greenness of the samples (p < 0.05). Sensory evaluation results also showed that panelists detected stronger Wolffia odour and more sandy texture in samples with high level of Wolffia addition (p < 0.05, Figure 2(a)). Regarding the spice odour, it was found that, the increased amount of spice added (4.5% and 5% w/w), resulted in better acceptance compared to the previous trials (3% w/w spice). Sensory evaluation showed non-significant differences between S/I and I/I score ratios on spice odour (p > 0.05), which means the panelists perceived it as ideal. This was in accordance with a previous study on Wiener type sausage, reporting that addition of spices in the sausage improved panelists' odour perception by masking off-odour volatile compounds (Krysztofiak, 2005).

The S/I score ratios all quality attributes of each sample were compared with I/I score ratios. It was found that, out of the four samples, only the sample containing 22.50:77.50 Wolffia-to-pork and 5.0% spices did not differ from the ideal value on all agreed quality attributes (p > 0.05, Figure 2(b)), which means such sample fully satisfied the panelists' expectations. Therefore, such sample was considered the most preferred product.

Table 3. Chemical and microbiological analysis<sup>1</sup> of Wolffia-pork ball in comparison with the Thai community product standard for pork ball (TISI, 2004)

| Parameter                 | Wolffia-pork ball      | Thai community product |  |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
|                           | product                | standard for pork ball |  |  |
|                           |                        | (TCPS304/2547)         |  |  |
| Protein (%)               | $14.63\pm0.30$         | ≥14.00                 |  |  |
| Fat (%)                   | $0.44\pm0.42$          | ≤6.00                  |  |  |
| Total Plate Count (CFU/g) | 2.00 x 10 <sup>2</sup> | $\leq 1.00 \ x \ 10^4$ |  |  |
| Yeast and Mould           | <10                    | Not Specified          |  |  |
| (CFU/g)                   |                        |                        |  |  |

Values were mean + standard deviation of triplicate measurements

Table 4. Percentages of scores<sup>1</sup> ranked by 300 consumers on quality attributes of the developed Wolffia-pork ball product

| Attribute   | Percentage of Score |   |   |   |    |    |    |
|-------------|---------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|
|             | 1                   | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6  | 7  |
| Colour      | 0                   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 31 | 56 |
| Spice Odour | 0                   | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 23 | 59 |
| Wolffia     | 0                   | 0 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 31 | 48 |
| Odour       |                     |   |   |   |    |    |    |
| Firmness    | 0                   | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5  | 17 | 73 |
| Sandiness   | 0                   | 2 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 23 | 55 |
| Taste       | 0                   | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5  | 18 | 72 |
| Overall     | 0                   | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6  | 22 | 70 |
| Liking      |                     |   |   |   |    |    |    |

<sup>1</sup>The scores 1-7 in the questionnaire represented the following feelings: 1 = extremely dislike, 2 = dislike, 3 = slightly dislike, 4 = neither like or dislike, 5 = slightly like, 6 = like, and 7 = extremely like

#### Chemical and microbiological analysis

Table 3 shows chemical and microbiological analysis of the developed product in comparison with the Thai community product standard for pork ball (TCPS304/2547; TISI, 2004). It was found that the product complied with the requirements of protein and fat contents, and had total microbial count within the limits specified in the safety standards.

#### Sensory evaluation by general consumers

Market trials of the developed Wolffia-pork ball product was performed. Three hundreds general consumers in 3 districts of Loei province, were asked to test the product and rated the product on 7-point hedonic scale (1 = extremely dislike, and 7 = extremely like). It was found that 83% of the consumers regularly consumed meat products (sausages, fermented sausages, meat balls). Among these, 80% considered meat-vegetable mixed products, such as seaweedfish balls, vegetable-pork balls, were healthier than meat-only ball product. Factors influencing them to decide whether to buy food products available on the market were (1) nutrition values, (2) taste, (3) price, (4) convenience, and (5) appearance. It was found that the consumers were satisfied with the product because more than 75% of the consumers rated the product at scores of 6 (like) and 7 (extremely like) on all quality attributes tested (Table 4).

### Conclusions

In this study, Wolffia-pork ball product was developed. Recipe optimisation showed that the desirable Wolffia-pork ball product composed of 56.23% pork, 16.32% Wolffia, 14.52% ice, 5.00% mixed garlic and pepper (1:1 weight basis), 3.66% glutinous rice flour, 1.59% salt, 1.45% sugar, 0.58% soy sauce, 0.36% monosodium glutamate, and 0.29% sodium triphosphate. The developed product complied with the Thai standard specifications for pork ball product in terms of protein and fat contents as well as microbiological safety standards. The developed product was well accepted by general consumers in market trials in Loei province.

### References

- Armstrong, W.P. 2001. Wolffia Used For Nutritious Gourmet Dishes.
- Downloaded from *http://waynesword.palomar.edu/ genimg2.htm* on 20/12/2011.
- AOAC. 2000. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official
- Analytical chemists (17<sup>th</sup> ed.). Virginia: The Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Bhanthumnavin, K. and McGarry, M.G. 1971. Wolffia arrhiza as a Possible Source of Inexpensive Protein. Nature 232(5311): 495-495.
- Chantiratikul, A. and Chumpawadee, S. 2011. Effect of Heat-treatment on Ruminal Protein Degradability of Wolffia Meal (*Wolffia globosa* L. *Wimm*). Asean Journal of Animal Sciences 5: 183-189.
- Charoentesprasit, N. and Jiwyam, W. 2001. An Evaluation of Wolffia Meal (*Wolffia asshiza*) in Replacing Spybean Meal in Some Formulated Rations of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 4(5): 618:620.
- Coultate, T.P. 1996. Food Chemistry of Its Components. London: South Bank University.
- Devatkal, S., Mendiratta, S.K. and Kondaiah, N. 2004. Quality Characteristics of Loaves from Buffalo Meat, Liver, and Vegetables. Journal of Meat Science 67: 377-383.
- Edwards, P. 1980. Food Potential of Aquatic Macrophytes. Downloaded from *http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf\_docs/ PNAAJ073.pdf* on 11/3/2012.
- Kriengsuwan, D. 2006. Pum (Wolffia sp.). Downloaded from http://natres.psu.ac.th/radio/radio\_article/ radio48-49/48-490047.htm on 15/3/2012 on 15/03/2012 [in Thai].
- Krysztofiak, K. 2005. Feasibility Study of Quality Improvement of Weiner Type Sausages Produced with

Blood Plasma. Downloaded from *http://www.ejpau. media.pl/volume8/issue4/abs-63.html* on 8/1/2012.

- Lawless, H.T. and Heyman, H. 1999. Sensory Evaluation of Food: Principles and Practices. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Lembi, C.A. 2002. Aquatic Plant Management: Control of Duckweed and Watermeal. Downloaded from http:// www.btny.purdue.edu/ pubs/apm/apm-2-w.pdf on 1/4/2012.
- Lynch Jr., W.E. 2004. Duckweed and Watermeal: Prevention and Control. Downloaded from *http://www.ohioline. osu.edu/a-fact/pdf/0014.pdf* on 1/4/2012.
- O'Mahony, M. 1985. Sensory Evaluation of Food: Statistical Methods and Procedures. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Rocha, T., Marty-Audouin, C. and Lebert, A. 1993. Effect of Drying Temperature and Blanching on the Degradation of Chlorophyll A and B in Mint (*Mentha spicata* Huds.) and Basil (*Ocimum basilicum*): Analysis by High Performance Liquid Chromatography with Photodiode Array Detection. Journal of Chromatographia 36: 152-247.
- Sintawalia, S. 1998. Ratio Profile Test in Product Development. Journal of Food. 18: 11-22. [in Thai].
- Thai Industrial Standards Institute (TISI). 2004. Thai Community Product Standard for Pork Ball (TCPS304/2547). Downloaded from *http://www.tisi. go.th/otop/pdf\_file/ tcps304\_47.pdf* on 8/1/2012 [in Thai].